Revenue.

1-5

App. F IX-4

1928-29
(ML)

File No. 63-28

Serial Nos. 113, 134

Previous References
ML 65-1851-2
& 63-28-537A-6

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1134 134

Part B for April 1929

SUBJECT

Levy of license fee on hotel keepers in Chulsmajalur and framing of necessary Bye-laws

134 No. The D. C. Malabar
No. A 6871/A 16-4 29
States that there is no objection to the municipal Council requiring hotel keepers to take licenses even under the Carriage Rules and requests to frame necessary Bye-laws

Issued

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No. 63 | of 1928

Serial No.	
43	Letter No A3 2043 Dy 12 3 29 from Dc Kolar. States that it is necessary that Hotel keepers & Eating houses should be enforced to obtain licenses before they commence their business & Regns that necessary amendments may be made to the Mil Regns to this effect.
	This case by the correspondence along with the amendment of the Mil Regns under consideration Separately
Flag H	1 Dy 4 to Mil L 4329 Mil 63-28 56 Dy 19 12 28 wherein stated that under Section 139(5) of the Mil Regns licenses cannot be insisted on the case of Hotels & Eating houses where food is consumed on the premises & the Mil Dept desire that the Regns should be amended so as to make licenses compulsory in these cases In connection with Bangalore Rye Laws Licenses by the Bangalore City Mil & the Local Remembrancer has suggested (vide Govt 2 of his D. No. Dy 29-11 28) that Local Govts (s)
Flag A	

NOTE—Contd sheet

Serial No

it should not be [illegible] that the Municipality have no power to license such hotel & that this section only empowers that hotels etc not of course under the category of 1 or 2 charge but in light of the note the limit of 9 years should be increased to 12 years for hotels etc without any recommendation by the Municipality [illegible]

Municipality may be notified in the new bill

23/3

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26 MAR 1929
MADRAS

23/3

Yes
23/3
4
4

Draft letter to Municipality which may perhaps be sent to Law Dept for concurrence

3/4/29

Municipality may be notified in the Modified Municipal Act

23/3/29

NOTE—Opinion about

4463

App II—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Secret File No 63 of 1928

Serial No	
	Draft letter sent as to Mr 6/4 K and Manager as under Mr 6/4 and Legal draft sent as Mr 7/4 and Com 11/4
134	Dr Kaulen 2nd 6871/Dy 164 States that the Colonel may and he may frame the necessary Regulations & that There is no objection to his carrying hotels Rates

SECTT GEN 19 APR 1929 L & L Branch

Notes—Centre sheets

WD

M.168-86-M3

M.Q

No.A3-2043/24-29. OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KADUR DISTRICT

Memo Dated Chikmagalur 18th March 1929

18 MAR 1929

SECT. BRANCH.

From

H V Ramaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General Secretariat
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.[illegible]-56 dated 17th December 1928, stating that the proposal of the Chikmagalur Municipal Council to amend their bye-law requiring the keepers of hotels and eating houses to obtain licence etc., cannot be sanctioned under section 159 (5) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, I have the honor to state that at present the establishment of the Hotels is on the increase. The keepers of these hotels are more particular to run them in the cheapest possible way, paying little or no attention to the public health or Sanitary surroundings, unless compeled to do so by the local authorities

2 Under the circumstance it is necessary in the interest of the health of the towns-people, to require

To

ml 63-296-134
L6841
16-4-29

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A.3-2043 dated 12th March 1929, stating that the Municipal Council, Chickmagalur ~~xxxxxxxx~~ consider it quite necessary to require hotels &c, to take licenses in the interests of public Health and the Regulation may be amended for the purpose if considered necessary, I am directed to state that the matter has been further considered by Govt. and they see no objection to the Municipal Council requiring hotel keepers to take licenses even under the existing regulation.

Necessary Bye-laws may be sent up for consideration in due course.

I have etc ,

29/11/29

General Secretary to Govt